

GLENN HEGAR TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

P.O.Box 13528 • Austin, TX 78711-3528

March 6, 2017

Mel Yates Interim Superintendent Texhoma Independent School District P.O. Box 10080 Texhoma, Texas 73960

Re:

Certificate for Limitation on Appraised Value of Property for School District Maintenance and Operations Taxes by and between Texhoma Independent School District and Oslo Wind, LLC, Application 1172

Dear Superintendent Yates:

On February 15, 2017, the Comptroller issued written notice that Oslo Wind, LLC (applicant) submitted a completed application (Application 1172) for a limitation on appraised value under the provisions of Tax Code Chapter 313.¹ This application was originally submitted on January 17, 2017, to the Texhoma Independent School District (school district) by the applicant.

This presents the results of the Comptroller's review of the application and determinations required:

- 1) under Section 313.025(h) to determine if the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C; and
- 2) under Section 313.025(d), to issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value of the property and provide the certificate to the governing body of the school district or provide the governing body a written explanation of the Comptroller's decision not to issue a certificate, using the criteria set out in Section 313.026.

Determination required by 313.025(h)

Sec. 313.024(a)	Applicant is subject to tax imposed by Chapter 171.
Sec. 313.024(b)	Applicant is proposing to use the property for an eligible project.
Sec. 313.024(d)	Applicant has requested a waiver to create the required number of new
	qualifying jobs and pay all jobs created that are not qualifying jobs a wage that
	exceeds the county average weekly wage for all jobs in the county where the jobs
	are located.
Sec. 313.024(d-2)	Not applicable to Application 1172.

Based on the information provided by the applicant, the Comptroller has determined that the property meets the requirements of Section 313.024 for eligibility for a limitation on appraised value under Chapter 313, Subchapter C.

¹ All statutory references are to the Texas Tax Code, unless otherwise noted.

Certificate decision required by 313.025(d)

Determination required by 313.026(c)(1)

The Comptroller has determined that the project proposed by the applicant is reasonably likely to generate tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district's maintenance and operations ad valorem tax revenue lost as a result of the agreement before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period, see Attachment B.

Determination required by 313.026(c)(2)

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state, see Attachment C.

Based on these determinations, the Comptroller issues a certificate for a limitation on appraised value. This certificate is contingent on the school district's receipt and acceptance of the Texas Education Agency's determination per 313.025(b-1).

The Comptroller's review of the application assumes the accuracy and completeness of the statements in the application. If the application is approved by the school district, the applicant shall perform according to the provisions of the Texas Economic Development Act Agreement (Form 50-826) executed with the school district. The school district shall comply with and enforce the stipulations, provisions, terms, and conditions of the agreement, applicable Texas Administrative Code and Chapter 313, per TAC 9.1054(i)(3).

This certificate is no longer valid if the application is modified, the information presented in the application changes, or the limitation agreement does not conform to the application. Additionally, this certificate is contingent on the school district approving and executing the agreement by December 31, 2017.

Note that any building or improvement existing as of the application review start date of February 15, 2017, or any tangible personal property placed in service prior to that date may not become "Qualified Property" as defined by 313.021(2) and the Texas Administrative Code.

Should you have any questions, please contact Will Counihan, Director, Data Analysis & Transparency, by email at will.counihan@cpa.texas.gov or by phone toll-free at 1-800-531-5441, ext. 6-0758, or at 512-936-0758.

Sincerely,

Deputy Comptroller

Enclosure

cc: Will Counihan

Attachment A – Economic Impact Analysis

The following tables summarize the Comptroller's economic impact analysis of Oslo Wind, LLC (project) applying to Texhoma Independent School District (district), as required by Tax Code, 313.026 and Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d)(2).

Table 1 is a summary of investment, employment and tax impact of Oslo Wind, LLC.

Applicant	Oslo Wind, LLC
Tax Code, 313.024 Eligibility Category	Renewable Energy Electric Generation
School District	Texhoma ISD
Estimated 2014-2015 Average Daily Attendance	91
County	Sherman and Hansford
Proposed Total Investment in District	\$141,750,000
Proposed Qualified Investment	\$141,750,000
Limitation Amount	\$20,000,000
Qualifying Time Period (Full Years)	2018-2019
Number of new qualifying jobs committed to by applicant *	3
Number of new non-qualifying jobs estimated by applicant	0
Average weekly wage of qualifying jobs committed to by applicant	\$908
Minimum weekly wage required for each qualifying job by Tax Code, 313.021(5)(B)	\$908
Minimum annual wage committed to by applicant for qualified jobs	\$47,235
Minimum weekly wage required for non-qualifying jobs	\$792
Minimum annual wage required for non-qualifying jobs	\$41,185
Investment per Qualifying Job	\$47,250,000
Estimated M&O levy without any limit (15 years)	\$16,344,898
Estimated M&O levy with Limitation (15 years)	\$5,956,409
Estimated gross M&Otax benefit (15 years)	\$10,388,489
*Applicant is requesting district to waive requirement to create minimum number of qualifying jobs pursuant to Tax Code, 313.025 (f-1).	

Table 2 is the estimated statewide economic impact of Oslo Wind, LLC (modeled).

		Employment		Personal Income					
Year	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total	Direct	Indirect + Induced	Total			
2017	21	19	40	\$1,050,000	\$1,635,500	\$2,685,500			
2018	66	61	126.95	\$3,296,706	\$4,881,994	\$8,178,700			
2019	3	7	10	\$141,706	\$1,078,994	\$1,220,700			
2020	3	5	8	\$141,706	\$1,078,994	\$1,220,700			
2021	3	5	8	\$141,706	\$956,894	\$1,098,600			
2022	3	7	10	\$141,706	\$956,894	\$1,098,600			
2023	3	7	10	\$141,706	\$956,894	\$1,098,600			
2024	3	5	8	\$141,706	\$834,894	\$976,600			
2025	3	11	14	\$141,706	\$956,894				
2026	3.	7	10	\$141,706	\$834,894				
2027	3	9	12	\$141,706	\$1,078,994	\$1,220,700			
2028	3	11	14	\$141,706	\$1,078,994	\$1,220,700			
2029	3	3	6	\$141,706	\$1,078,994	\$1,220,700			
2030	3	5	8	\$141,706	\$590,694	\$732,400			
2031	3	3	6	\$141,706	\$590,694	\$732,400			
2032	3	7	10	\$141,706	\$346,594	\$488,300			
2033	3	3	6	\$141,706	\$590,694	\$732,400			

Source: CPA REMI, Oslo Wind, LLC

Table 3 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the region if all taxes are assessed.

Table	3 Estimated Di	rect Ad Valorer	n Taxes w	ithout property	tax incentives					
									North Plains	
									Underground	
								Texhoma	Water	
	Estimated	Estimated				Texhoma ISD	Sherman	Hospital	Conservation	
	Taxable Value	Taxable Value		Texhoma ISD	Texhoma ISD	M&O and I&S	County Tax	District Tax	District Tax	Estimated Total
Year	for I&S	for M&O		I&S Tax Levy	M&O Tax Levy	Tax Levies	Levy	Levy	Levy	Property Taxes
			Tax Rate	0.1910	1.0400		0.6154	0.0103	0.0341	
2019	\$138,645,675	\$138,645,675		\$264,813	\$1,441,915	\$1,706,728	\$853,184	\$14,310	\$47,342	\$2,574,222
2020	\$135,300,375	\$135,300,375		\$258,424	\$1,407,124	\$1,665,548	\$832,598	\$13,964	\$46,200	\$2,512,110
2021	\$131,699,925	\$131,699,925		\$251,547	\$1,369,679	\$1,621,226	\$810,442	\$13,593	\$44,970	\$2,445,261
2022	\$127,787,625	\$127,787,625		\$244,074	\$1,328,991	\$1,573,066	\$786,367	\$13,189	\$43,634	\$2,372,621
2023	\$123,577,650	\$123,577,650		\$236,033	\$1,285,208	\$1,521,241	\$760,460	\$12,754	\$42,197	\$2,294,455
2024	\$119,027,475	\$119,027,475		\$227,342	\$1,237,886	\$1,465,228	\$732,459	\$12,285	\$40,643	\$2,209,972
2025	\$114,108,750	\$114,108,750		\$217,948	\$1,186,731	\$1,404,679	\$702,191	\$11,777	\$38,964	\$2,118,647
2026	\$108,807,300	\$108,807,300		\$207,822	\$1,131,596	\$1,339,418	\$669,567	\$11,230	\$37,153	\$2,020,215
2027	\$103,066,425	\$103,066,425		\$196,857	\$1,071,891	\$1,268,748	\$634,240	\$10,637	\$35,193	\$1,913,625
2028	\$96,871,950	\$96,871,950		\$185,025	\$1,007,468	\$1,192,494	\$596,121	\$9,998	\$33,078	\$1,798,613
2029	\$90,195,525	\$90,195,525		\$172,273	\$938,033	\$1,110,307	\$555,036	\$9,309	\$30,798	\$1,674,652
2030	\$82,966,275	\$82,966,275		\$158,466	\$862,849	. \$1,021,315	\$510,550	\$8,563	\$28,330	\$1,540,427
2031	\$75,170,025	\$75,170,025		\$143,575	\$781,768	\$925,343	\$462,574	\$7,758	\$25,668	\$1,395,675
2032	\$66,750,075	\$66,750,075		\$127,493	\$694,201	\$821,693	\$410,760	\$6,889	\$22,792	\$1,239,343
2033	\$57,649,725	\$57,649,725		\$110,111	\$599,557	\$709,668	\$354,759	\$5,950	\$19,685	\$1,070,377
$oxed{oxed}$										
			Total	\$3,001,803	\$16,344,898	\$19,346,701	\$9,671,307	\$162,207	\$536,647	\$29,180,216

Source: CPA, Oslo Wind, LLC ¹Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Table 4 examines the estimated direct impact on ad valorem taxes to the school district, Sherman County, Texhoma Hospital District and North Plains Underground Water Conservation District, with all property tax incentives sought being granted using estimated market value from the application. The project has applied for a value limitation under Chapter 313, Tax Code and tax abatement with the county.

The difference noted in the last line is the difference between the totals in Table 3 and Table 4.

Table	4 Estimated Di	rect Ad Valorer	m Taxes w	ith all property	tax incentives sou	ght				_
					*			Texhoma	North Plains Underground Water	
	Estimated	Estimated				Texhoma ISD	Sherman	Hospital	Conservation	
	Taxable Value	Taxable Value	 	Texhoma ISD	Texhoma ISD	M&O and I&S	County Tax	District Tax	District Tax	Estimated Total
Year	for 1&S	for M&O		I&S Tax Levy	M&O Tax Levy	Tax Levies	Levv	Levv	Levy	Property Taxes
			Tax Rate	0.1910	1.0400		0.6154	0.0103	0,0341	reporty rando
2019	\$138,645,675	\$20,000,000		\$264,813	\$208,000	\$472,813	\$127,978	\$14,310	\$6,829	\$615,100
2020	\$135,300,375	\$20,000,000		\$258,424	\$208,000	\$466,424	\$124,890	\$13,964	\$6,829	\$605,278
2021	\$131,699,925	\$20,000,000		\$251,547	\$208,000	\$459,547	\$121,566	\$13,593	\$6,829	\$594,706
2022	\$127,787,625	\$20,000,000		\$244,074	\$208,000	\$452,074	\$117,955	\$13,189	\$6,829	\$583,218
2023	\$123,577,650	\$20,000,000		\$236,033	\$208,000	\$444,033	\$114,069	\$12,754	\$6,829	\$570,857
2024	\$119,027,475	\$20,000,000		\$227,342	\$208,000	\$435,342	\$109,869	\$12,285	\$6,829	\$557,496
2025	\$114,108,750	\$20,000,000		\$217,948	\$208,000	\$425,948	\$105,329	\$11,777	\$6,829	\$543,054
2026	\$108,807,300	\$20,000,000		\$207,822	\$208,000	\$415,822	\$100,435	\$11,230	\$6,829	\$527,487
2027	\$103,066,425	\$20,000,000		\$196,857	\$208,000	\$404,857	\$95,136	\$10,637	\$6,829	\$510,630
2028	\$96,871,950	\$20,000,000		\$185,025	\$208,000	\$393,025	\$89,418	\$9,998	\$6,829	\$492,442
2029	\$90,195,525	\$90,195,525		\$172,273	\$938,033	\$1,110,307	\$555,036	\$9,309	\$30,798	\$1,674,652
2030	\$82,966,275	\$82,966,275		\$158,466	\$862,849	\$1,021,315	\$510,550	\$8,563	\$28,330	\$1,540,427
2031	\$75,170,025	\$75,170,025		\$143,575	\$781,768	\$925,343	\$462,574	\$7,758	\$25,668	\$1,395,675
2032	\$66,750,075	\$66,750,075		\$127,493	\$694,201	\$821,693	\$410,760	\$6,889	\$22,792	\$1,239,343
2033	\$57,649,725	\$57,649,725		\$110,111	\$599,557	\$709,668	\$354,759	\$5,950	\$19,685	\$1,070,377
			Total	\$3,001,803	\$5,956,409	\$8,958,212	\$3,400,323	\$162,207	\$195,565	\$12,520,743
						il .				
			Diff	\$0	\$10,388,489	\$10,388,489	\$6,270,984	\$0	\$341,082	\$16,659,473
Assum	es School Value I	Limitation and T	ax Abatem	ent with the Cour	ity.					

Source: CPA, Oslo Wind, LLC ¹Tax Rate per \$100 Valuation

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Attachment B - Tax Revenue before 25th Anniversary of Limitation Start

This represents the Comptroller's determination that Oslo Wind, LLC (project) is reasonably likely to generate, before the 25th anniversary of the beginning of the limitation period, tax revenue in an amount sufficient to offset the school district maintenance and operations ad valorem tax revenue lost as a result of the agreement. This evaluation is based on an analysis of the estimated M&O portion of the school district property tax levy and direct, indirect and induced tax effects from project employment directly related to this project, using estimated taxable values provided in the application.

	Tax Year	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Generated (Annual)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Generated (Cumulative)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Loss as Result of Agreement (Annual)	Estimated ISD M&O Tax Levy Loss as Result of Agreement (Cumulative)
Limitation	2016	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Pre-Years	2017	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	2018	\$368,550	\$368,550	\$0	\$0
	2019	\$208,000	\$576,550	\$1,233,915	\$1,233,915
	2020	\$208,000	\$784,550	\$1,199,124	\$2,433,039
	2021	\$208,000	\$992,550	\$1,161,679	\$3,594,718
	2022	\$208,000	\$1,200,550	\$1,120,991	\$4,715,709
Limitation Period	2023	\$208,000	\$1,408,550	\$1,077,208	\$5,792,917
(10 Years)	2024	\$208,000	\$1,616,550	\$1,029,886	\$6,822,803
	2025	\$208,000	\$1,824,550	\$978,731	\$7,801,534
	2026	\$208,000	\$2,032,550	\$923,596	\$8,725,130
	2027	\$208,000	\$2,240,550	\$863,891	\$9,589,020
	2028	\$208,000	\$2,448,550	\$799,468	\$10,388,489
,	2029	\$938,033	\$3,386,583	\$0	\$10,388,489
Maintain Viable	2030	\$862,849	\$4,249,433	\$0	\$10,388,489
Presence	2031	\$781,768	\$5,031,201	\$0	\$10,388,489
(5 Years)	2032	\$694,201	\$5,725,402	\$0	\$10,388,489
	2033	\$599,557	\$6,324,959	\$0	\$10,388,489
	2034	\$497,248	\$6,822,207	\$0	\$10,388,489
	2035	\$386,978	\$7,209,184	\$0	\$10,388,489
	2036	\$368,550	\$7,577,734	\$0	\$10,388,489
Additional Years	2037	\$368,550	\$7,946,284	\$0	\$10,388,489
as Required by	2038	\$368,550	\$8,314,834	\$0	\$10,388,489
313.026(c)(1)	2039	\$368,550	\$8,683,384	\$0	\$10,388,489
(10 Years)	2040	\$368,550	\$9,051,934	\$0	\$10,388,489
}	2041	\$368,550	\$9,420,484	\$0	\$10,388,489
	2042	\$368,550	\$9,789,034	\$0	\$10,388,489
	2043	\$368,550	\$10,157,584	\$0	\$10,388,489
		\$10,157,584	is less than	\$10,388,489	
nalysis Summary					
the project reasona	bly likely to	generate tax revenue in a	n amount sufficient to offs	et the M&O levy loss as	No

NOTE: The analysis above only takes into account this project's estimated impact on the M&O portion of the school district property tax levy directly related to this project.

Source: CPA, Oslo Wind, LLC

	Employment				Personal Income		Revenue & Expenditure			
V	Diment		m . 1				_			
Year 2017	Direct 21	Indirect + Induced		Direct	Indirect + Induced		Revenue	Expenditure	Net Tax Effe	
		19	40	\$1,050,000		\$2,685,500			\$213,70	
2018	66	61		\$3,296,706		\$8,178,700			\$610,30	
2019	3	7	10	\$141,706		\$1,220,700	83900		-\$30,50	
2020	3	5	8	\$141,706		\$1,220,700	83900		-\$45,80	
2021	3	5	8	\$141,706		\$1,098,600	68700		-\$53,40	
2022	3	7	10	\$141,706		\$1,098,600	76300		-\$38,10	
2023	3	7	10	\$141,706		\$1,098,600	76300		-\$30,50	
2024	3	5_	8	\$141,706	\$83 <u>4,</u> 894		114400	122100	-\$7,70	
2025	3	11	14	\$141,706	\$956,894	\$1,098,600	99200	122100	-\$22,90	
2026	3	7	10	\$141,706	\$834,894	\$976,600	106800	122100	-\$15,30	
2027	3	9	12	\$141,706	\$1,078,994	\$1,220,700	114400	99200	\$15,20	
2028	3	11	14	\$141,706	\$1,078,994	\$1,220,700	129700	91600	\$38,10	
2029	3	3	6	\$141,706	\$1,078,994	\$1,220,700	106800	83900	\$22,90	
2030	3	5	8	\$141,706	\$590,694	\$732,400	76300		\$15,30	
2031	3	3	6	\$141,706	\$590,694	\$732,400	68700	45800	\$22,90	
2032	3	7	10	\$141,706	\$346,594	\$488,300	68700		\$30,60	
2033	. 3	3	6	\$141,706	\$590,694	\$732,400	76300		\$99,20	
2034	3	1	4	\$141,706	\$102,394		45800	-7600	\$53,40	
2035	3	1	4	\$141,706	\$346,594		15300	-22900	\$38,20	
2036	3	1	4	\$141,706	-\$141,706	\$0	7600	-68700	\$76,30	
2037	-3	5	8	\$141,706	\$102,394		0	-99200	\$99,20	
2038	3	3	6	\$141,706	-\$141,706	\$0	15300	-99200	\$114,50	
2039	3	1	4	\$141,706	\$346,594	\$488,300	0	-114400	\$114,40	
2040	3	(1)	2	\$141,706	-\$141,706	\$0	-45800	-152600	\$106,80	
2041	3	3	6	\$141,706	-\$385,806	-\$244,100	-61000	-160200	\$99,20	
2042	3	(1)	2	\$141,706	-\$630,006	-\$488,300	-76300	-167800	\$91,50	
2043	3	(3)	0	\$141,706	-\$141,706	\$0	-61000	-183100	\$122,10	
2044	3	(7)	-4	\$141,706	-\$630,006	-\$488,300	-61000	-160200	\$99,20	
						Total	\$1,701,500	-\$137,300	\$1,838,800	
							\$11,996,384	is greater than	\$10,388,489	
the proje	ummary ect reasor agreemen	ably likely to gener	ate tax re	evenue in an	amount sufficient t	o offset the M	I&O levy loss as a	result of the	Yes	

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Attachment C - Limitation as a Determining Factor

Tax Code 313.026 states that the Comptroller may not issue a certificate for a limitation on appraised value under this chapter for property described in an application unless the comptroller determines that "the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state." This represents the basis for the Comptroller's determination.

Methodology

Texas Administrative Code 9.1055(d) states the Comptroller shall review any information available to the Comptroller including:

- the application, including the responses to the questions in Section 8 (Limitation as a Determining Factor);
- public documents or statements by the applicant concerning business operations or site location issues or in which the applicant is a subject;
- statements by officials of the applicant, public documents or statements by governmental or industry officials concerning business operations or site location issues;
- existing investment and operations at or near the site or in the state that may impact the proposed project;
- announced real estate transactions, utility records, permit requests, industry publications or other sources that may provide information helpful in making the determination; and
- market information, raw materials or other production inputs, availability, existing facility locations, committed incentives, infrastructure issues, utility issues, location of buyers, nature of market, supply chains, other known sites under consideration.

Determination

The Comptroller has determined that the limitation on appraised value is a determining factor in the Oslo Wind, LLC's decision to invest capital and construct the project in this state. This is based on information available, including information provided by the applicant. Specifically, the comptroller notes the following:

- Per Oslo Wind, LLC. in Tab 5 of their Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value:
 - A. "The approval of the Project's application for a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation remains an essential factor in the Project being selected for development by Apex."
 - B. "Apex would like to develop and build its proposed project but, given the number of undetermined variables at this early stage, a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation Agreement is necessary to make the economics of the project viable by providing relief for the greatest operational cost of the project."
- According to the Oslo Wind, LLC's parent company Apex Clean Energy. news release dated September 30, 2016, "Apex Clean Energy has acquired the Novus IV wind energy project from Novus Windpower, LLC, ... The project, which is located in Hansford County and Sherman County, Texas, in the north Texas Panhandle, has the potential to bring 360 MW of wind energy into the Southwest Power Pool market ... 'Novus IV is an excellent project in a strategic location,' said Mark Goodwin, president of Apex. 'The Texas and Oklahoma Panhandle region has some of the best wind in the country, and we are pleased to be partnering with Novus Windpower to bring this project through construction and into operations.'... Novus IV is now known as Oslo Wind."
- According to the company's website, the applicant has named Hansford and Sherman Counties as the only site for the Oslo Wind project at the time the application was submitted.

Supporting Information

- a) Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- b) Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value
- c) Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

Disclaimer: This examination is based on information from the application submitted to the school district and forwarded to the comptroller. It is intended to meet the statutory requirement of Chapter 313 of the Tax Code and is not intended for any other purpose.

Supporting Information

Section 8 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value



Application for Appraised Value Limitation on Qualified Property

	SECTION 6: Eligibility Under Tax Code Chapter 313.024			100	153	
1	1. Are you an entity subject to the tax under Tax Code, Chapter 171	1?	1	Yes		No
2	The property will be used for one of the following activities:					
				Yes	\checkmark	No
				Yes	1	No
	(3) a clean coal project, as defined by Section 5.001, Water C	Code		Yes	V	No
	(4) an advanced clean energy project, as defined by Section	382.003, Health and Safety Code		Yes	1	No
	(5) renewable energy electric generation		1	Yes		No
	(6) electric power generation using integrated gasification cor	mbined cycle technology		Yes	1	No
	(7) nuclear electric power generation			Yes	1	No
	(8) a computer center that is used as an integral part or as a applicant in one or more activities described by Subdivision	necessary auxiliary part for the activity conducted by ons (1) through (7)		Yes	I	No
	(9) a Texas Priority Project, as defined by 313.024(e)(7) and T	AC 9.1051	H	Yes	1	No
3.	3. Are you requesting that any of the land be classified as qualified i		Η,	Yes		No
4.	4. Will any of the proposed qualified investment be leased under a c			Yes		No
5.	5. Will any of the proposed qualified investment be leased under an			Yes		No
6.	6. Are you including property that is owned by a person other than the			Yes		No
7.	7. Will any property be pooled or proposed to be pooled with proper	ty owned by the applicant in determining the amount of		103	V	INU
	your qualified investment?	••••)	Yes	V	Ņο
	SECTION 7: Project Description					
1.	 In Tab 4, attach a detailed description of the scope of the propose sonal property, the nature of the business, a timeline for property 	ed project, including, at a minimum, the type and planned use of a construction or installation, and any other relevant information.	real an	nd tang	ible pe	er-
2.	2. Check the project characteristics that apply to the proposed project					
	✓ Land has no existing improvements	Land has existing improvements (complete Sec	tion 1	3)		
	Expansion of existing operation on the land (complete Section	on 13) Relocation within Texas				
S	SECTION 8: Limitation as Determining Factor					T
1.	1. Does the applicant currently own the land on which the proposed p	project will occur?	Y	/es	√ r	No
2.	2. Has the applicant entered into any agreements, contracts or letters	of intent related to the proposed project?	V Y	⁄es		۷o
	3. Does the applicant have current business activities at the location		Y	es		No
	Has the applicant made public statements in SEC filings or other d proposed project location?	ocuments regarding its intentions regarding the		es [۷o
5.	5. Has the applicant received any local or state permits for activities of	and the second s		es [lo.
	6. Has the applicant received commitments for state or local incentive			es [10
	7. Is the applicant evaluating other locations not in Texas for the propo	40.4. CH144.4 C4.5.0		es		lo
8.	8. Has the applicant provided capital investment or return on investment	ent information for the proposed project in comparison	V	20		0
	with other alternative investment opportunities?		Ye	es	√ N	О
	Has the applicant provided information related to the applicant's inpu		Ye	∍s [√ N	0
10.	 Are you submitting information to assist in the determination as to v factor in the applicant's decision to invest capital and construct the 	vhether the limitation on appraised value is a determining project in Texas?	✓ Ye	es [N	0
Cha	Chapter 313.026(e) states "the applicant may submit information to under Subsection (c)(2)." If you answered "yes" to any of the ques	the Comptroller that would provide a basis for an efficient		L.		

For more information, visit our website: www.TexasAhead.org/tax_programs/chapter313/

Supporting Information

Attachments provided in Tab 5 of the Application for a Limitation on Appraised Value

Tab 5

Documentation to assist in determining if limitation is a determining factor

Apex, the ultimate parent company of Oslo Wind, has been in the renewable energy sector for over seven years and has capabilities in the development, financing, construction and operation of over 10,000 MW of independent power assets throughout the United States, of which over 1000 MW have the opportunity to be developed in Texas.

The Project is in the middle stages of development as of the date of this application. This Project was selected as a candidate to explore for development because of the fertile wind resource and investment made in Hansford County and Sherman County, access to the SPP market, and the favorable property tax incentives under the Tax Code, including Chapter 312 Tax Abatement and Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation.

The approval of the Project's application for a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation remains an essential factor in the Project being selected for development by Apex. A myriad of variables remain undetermined at this early stage, and Apex could elect to allocate resources to other developable counties and/or states competing for similar projects where Apex has land interests.

Apex would like to develop and build its proposed project but, given the number of undetermined variables at this early stage, a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation Agreement is necessary to make the economics of the project viable by providing relief for the greatest operational cost of the project. If Apex cannot secure a Chapter 313 Appraised Value Limitation Agreement, resources will be likely reallocated to other developable counties and/or states competing for similar projects where Apex has land interests. Apex is currently developing other sites within a four hour drive of the Project site in Oklahoma and Kansas, including:

- a. A large wind farm south set of Elk City Oklahoma;
- b. A large wind farm west of Woodward Oklahoma;
- c. A large wind farm in Caddo County Oklahoma; and
- d. A large wind farm about 100 miles north of Hays Kansas.

Supporting Information

Additional information provided by the Applicant or located by the Comptroller

Search CAREERS | NEWS | CONTACT | EMPLOYEE LOGIN









ABOUT

PROJECTS

FOR COMMUNITIES

FOR BUSINESS PARTNERS

FOR LANDOWNERS

VIDEO

Home » Apex Clean Energy Acquires Wind Energy Asset from Novus Windpower

APEX CLEAN ENERGY ACQUIRES WIND ENERGY ASSET FROM NOVUS WINDPOWER

Charlottesville, VA – September 30, 2016 – Apex Clean Energy has acquired the Novus IV wind energy project from Novus Windpower, LLC, headquartered in Guymon, Oklahoma. The project, which is located in Hansford County and Sherman County, Texas, in the north Texas Panhandle, has the potential to bring 360 MW of wind energy into the Southwest Power Pool market and could begin construction as early as 2017.

"Novus IV is an excellent project in a strategic location," said Mark Goodwin, president of Apex. "The Texas and Oklahoma Panhandle region has some of the best wind in the country, and we are pleased to be partnering with Novus Windpower to bring this project through construction and into operations."

"We are pleased to have concluded this transaction with Apex, which has an excellent track record for developing and completing projects in the Texas/Oklahoma Panhandles," said Soren Nielsen, co-founder of Novus Windpower. "We have always enjoyed strong local support in our development area, and we are excited to be working with Apex to bring this large wind energy project online as well."

Novus IV is now known as Oslo Wind.

About Apex

Apex Clean Energy builds, owns, and operates utility-scale wind and solar power facilities. Last year, Apex was the market leader in the United States, with 1,042 megawatts of new wind capacity installations, enough clean energy to supply the population of a city the size of Boston or San Francisco each year for the life of the facilities.

With a team of over 200 professionals and the nation's largest wind energy project pipeline, Apex is a leader in the transition to a clean energy future. For more information, visit www.apexcleanenergy.com.

About Novus Windpower

Novus Windpower was formed in 2006 to focus specifically on the development of large, centralized wind energy facilities in the Texas/Oklahoma Panhandles. With this transaction now closed, nearly 1,300 MW of wind energy projects have been developed and sold, and an additional 1,200 MW remain under development by Novus Windpower. For more information, visit www.novuswind.com.

Apex Clean Energy Media Contact

Steve Bowers
Vice President, Marketing and Communications
434-270-7487
steve.bowers@apexcleanenergy.com

COMPANY PROFILE

Established

2009

Employees

Over 200

Capacity Operating/ Under Construction Over 2,200 MW

Portfolio Capacity Over 12,000 MW

Headquarters Charlottesville, VA



Court Square Building

Phone: 434.220.7595

CAREERS | NEWS | CONTACT | EMPLOYEE LOGIN









ABOUT

PROJECTS

FOR COMMUNITIES

FOR BUSINESS PARTNERS

FOR LANDOWNERS

VIDEO

Home » South » Oslo Wind

OSLO WIND

COUNTY: Hansford and Sherman

STATE: Texas

COMPLETED: Exp. 2018

CAPACITY: 360 MW

HOMES POWERED: 133,000

